





I. Learning about the community

What type of organisation are you part of?




- 10 National Geographic, Topographic or Cadastre Administration
- 7 Regional and Local Administration
- 1 European and International Institutions
- 3 University and Research Center
- 5 Geospatial Company and Service Provider
- 0 Other kind of private structure


Where are you or your organisation based?




Which version of GN are you using?




Which schemas are you using?



ISO with application profile




DCAT-based schema




Other

How many records does your GN instance typically holds?



How many users does your GN instance typically serve (this only include editors or admins)?



if you use a specific schema, let us know here

-

ISO19139.che

ISO19110 Flemish profiles:
DCAT-AP-VL (native),
Metadata-DCAT (native),
GeoDCAT-AP-VL (export)

Different AP profiles for
countries and topics on
both ISO and DCAT

Based on netCDF by
HEREON institute

19139-che

ISO19139.che


iso19115 NL & iso19119
NL

if you use a specific schema, let us know here


Iso 19119-nl iso 19115-nl

AP ISO 2007






Which User Interface are you using for your GN instance?



By which means are records added and modified in your GeoNetwork instance? (multiple answers possible)



How much importance does INSPIRE validation and validation in general holds in your GN instance?

1. Valid INSPIRE status is a nice-to-have and/or only for certain records

2. Another kind of validation status is mandatory for records to be published

3. Another kind of validation status is a nice-to-have and/or only for certain records

4. No specific validation is run against records (except very basic ones like XSD validation)

5. Valid INSPIRE status is mandatory for records to be published


Please clarify your previous answer.

We validate against INSPIRE or DCAT-AP 3 depending where the record goes afterwards (INSPIRE portal, european data portal...). So we may run different validations on the same record.

Records are usually also maintained for the internal data catalog. Not only for INSPIRE

We validate against DataCite for our DOIs

Datacite schema

National profile guidelines include conformity to INSPIRE (GDI_VI_BestPractices)

We validate also against the dutch schematron rules


In our user manual Inspire validation is included as a step before publishing any kind of metadata. In practise compliance is only required for Inspire metadata.

ISO19139.ch validation

Please clarify your previous answer.


INSPIRE Validación is the goal to achieve, but we use the INSPIRE Reference Validador to test that, and in practice it is not a requirement. for publishing the records

What features of GN are you **not relying on/not familiar with** at all?



II. Relieving pain

How much would you rate the difficulty you experience in **using and maintaining your GeoNetwork instance?**




No difficulty whatsoever

overwhelmed with difficulty

What kind of difficulties do you encounter with GeoNetwork?

What recent changes/new features (in the past 2 years) in GeoNetwork has helped you significantly or made your life easier?



What recent changes/new features (in the past 2 years) in GeoNetwork has helped you significantly or made your life easier?

Docker setup API ingest of records Hopefully the new Editro and Datahub in the near future

Sub portal usage

DCAT-AP


Servidemetadata

elastic


Harvesting

Can you rank developments according to your needs?


OGC-API Records support




DCAT & GeoDCAT support




geonetwork-ui based front-end




Updating of Librearies that reach end of life




Improve documentation



OGC-API Feature support



Further improve/evolve existing UI



Which developments would you contribute to?



- DCAT & GeoDCAT support
- OCG-API Records support
- geonetwork-ui based front-end
- Improve documentation
- OGC-API Features support
- Further improve/evolve existing UI
- Updating of Libraries that reach end of life
- Optimize how Google indexes your catalog
- Integrate LLM into the GeoNetwork search engine

III. Collaborative roadmap

Tell us what initiatives and efforts are going on?

DCAT-AP schema
plugin

8

Popular

ISO to DCAT-AP 3
export and validation

6

GeoNetwork 5

6

Move to GN5

5

Add support for "data
spaces" (currently as a
'catalogue record', which
becomes geonetwork
portal)

5

Better support of
thesaurus / ontology


4

Move to GN 4.4.8

4

Multilingual support

4



14



Tell us what initiatives and efforts are going on?

GeoNetwork 5 Full DCAT-
AP support OGC API
Records Front end
applications to replace the
AngularJS applications
Dataspaces support

4

Creation of metadata
using AI tools

4

Automatic generation
and publishing of
service metadata

3

Implementation of the
new swiss-schema

3

AI powered edition (just
an idea for now)

3

Support Feature
Catalogue
synchronisation between
ArcGIS Pro and GN

3

The Editor

3

New UI client (angular with
right management,
facetting, viewer, ...)

3

Tell us what initiatives and efforts are going on?

Keep Frameworks up to date

2

Automation ingest and publish data

2

Import data models (feature catalogue)

2

Moving from ISO19139 to ISO19115-3

2

Filtering data in a dataset in datahub

2

Addition of DCAT profiles such as Mobility, Health, ...

2

Migration to 4.4.x New architecture

2

Support MEF Harvesting

1

Tell us what initiatives and efforts are going on?

DCAT3 upgrade of
DCAT-AP-VL profile

1

Data FAIRness is evolving -
New/ Easier ways of
evaluating data
accessibilitat should
emerge

1

DCAT-AP-NL
implementation

1

ISO 19115-1/5 work

1

AI automatic
translation (still an idea
for now)

1


ISO to Mobility-DCAT
export

Miro boards cannot currently be shown when exporting presentations.

Tell us what initiatives and efforts are going on

IV. Organisation

What tool do you use to communicate about GeoNetwork?




GeoNetwork Forum(OSGeo Discourse)



Gitter Chat



GIS StackExchange




github Issues




Mailing list


How often should we meet?



What tool should we use for meetings?



When should the next GeoNetwork User Meeting be organised?



Other propositions for the next GeoNetwork User Meeting?

Combine workshops, use case presentations and plenary project meetings

5

Popular

Two days; More time to get to know each other.

4

Workshops and usecases

2

Make it over 2 days instead of 1

2

metadata-editor workshop (for subportal?)

2

workshops on specific subjects

1

Organize a codesprint before/after it

1

Join with a foss4g conference

1

Other propositions for the next GeoNetwork User Meeting?

Workshops in smaller groups

1

More Users
presentations

Workshop (for instance
about sub portal)

It's already very good !

2 days

Great to have live view of
the answers Find a place to
explain the choices as we
use different terminology

And where would you like to meet?



Specific questions?

0 questions
0 upvotes